

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/954,507                             | 09/12/2001     | Richard A. Howes     | CISCP041C1 9058     |                  |
| 5073 7                                 | 590 09/19/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.<br>2001 ROSS AVENUE |                |                      | MEHRA, INDER P      |                  |
| SUITE 600<br>DALLAS, TX 75201-2980     |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                |                      | 2666                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u></u>  |  | ik  |                                       |  |  |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |                                       |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | 09/954,507   | HOWES ET AL.  |                                       |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |                                       |  |  |
| ·  | Inder P. Mehra   | 2666  |                                       |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence addi                                   | '0SS                                  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH  | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION I  | FOR ALLOWANCE.  |                                       |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol> |  |   |                                       |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv   | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the   |   | r is later. In no                     |  |  |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI   | •   | OWITHIN TWO                           |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a<br>and the corresponding amount of the fee<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS   | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))   | , to avoid dismissal o                                | f the appeal.                         |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for   |  |   |                                       |  |  |
| appeal; and/or   |  |   | the issues for                        |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |  | ected claims.   |                                       |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  | ` ''   | ompliant Amendment                                    | (PTOL-324).                           |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s  |  |   | (                                     |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).   | llowable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendme                                  | ent canceling                         |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wivided below or appended.  | ill be entered and an e                               | explanation of                        |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>4 and 6-10</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5 and 11-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |                                       |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | d sufficient reasons why the affidav   | it or other evidence is                               | necessary                             |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>y and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fail<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1      | s to provide a ).                     |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n or the status of the cialitis after e  | and y is below or attacr                              | ieu.                                  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1 has been amended to include new limitation "updating a virtual machine counter in the virtual machine object when a replication packet is received that includes the virtual IP address", which is not supported by specification and claim 11 has been amended to include "based at least in part ---of existing ---", Both of these limitations raise new issues, which requires additional search. Further, claims 21-31 have been added, which requires additional search...". Claim 6 does not seem to be included in claims 21, as mentioned by applicant, refer to page 12. Claims 6 and 11 have not been cancelled after if these have been included in claims 21 and 27 respectively, as mentioned.

Inder Pal Mehra 9/17/05

DANG TON

RIMARY EXAMINER